

Declination of Traditional Industries: A Study of Emerging Trends in India's Economy

¹Shubh Agrawal, Research Enthusiast, Economics and Business, Gorakhpur, U.P.

Abstract

This paper, examines the decline of traditional industries in India primarily handloom, handicrafts, cottage industries, and other small-scale artisan activities and analyzes the structural, technological, policy, and market forces driving these changes. While India has experienced sustained GDP growth and significant expansion in services and modern manufacturing, many traditional sectors have experienced reductions in employment share, declining incomes for artisans, loss of indigenous skills, and fragmentation of value chains. Using a mixed-methods approach that synthesizes secondary quantitative data from national and sectoral reports with qualitative insights from academic literature, policy documents, and journalistic sources, the study documents patterns of decline, identifies proximate and root causes (including mechanization, globalization, changing consumer tastes, urban migration, and weak institutional support), and highlights emerging trends that could either mitigate or accelerate decline (digital marketplaces, design-led interventions, GI tagging, and cooperative models). The paper concludes with policy recommendations aimed at preserving cultural capital and artisan livelihoods while enabling productive economic transitions.

Keywords: Traditional Industries, Handloom, Handicrafts, Cottage Industries, MSME, De-Industrialisation, Rural Employment, Digital Marketplaces, Geographical Indications (GI).

Introduction

India's economic transformation over the last four decades has been characterized by a pronounced shift away from agriculture and small-scale artisanal production toward modern services and organized manufacturing. This structural change has generated significant aggregate gains in GDP and increased per capita income for many, but it has also produced stark distributional and regional effects. Traditional industries—defined in this study as labor-intensive, often artisan-based activities such as handloom weaving, handicrafts, pottery, small-scale agro-processing, and other cottage manufacturing—play a dual role in India's economy: they provide income and employment in semi-urban and rural areas, and they preserve cultural heritage and indigenous knowledge systems. Over recent decades, the relative economic importance of many of these activities has diminished for reasons that are both structural and idiosyncratic. Understanding the causes and consequences of this decline, as well as the opportunities for revitalization, is essential for designing inclusive policy interventions and for managing India's broader structural transformation in a socially sustainable manner.

This paper seeks to answer three broad questions: (1) How pronounced is the decline of traditional industries in India, and what forms does it take? (2) What are the principal drivers behind this decline? (3) What emerging trends and policy responses can mitigate negative outcomes and create pathways for revitalization? To answer these questions, the study synthesizes published sectoral reports, government documents, academic literature, and credible news reporting, with a primary focus on developments since 2010 and special attention to post-pandemic dynamics.

Literature Review

The literature on structural transformation, de-industrialisation, and the fate of traditional crafts in developing countries is rich and interdisciplinary. Classic development economics emphasizes the need for structural change—movement of labor from low-productivity agriculture into higher-productivity manufacturing and services. However, for India, the transition has been partial and uneven: the service sector has absorbed a large share of value-added growth while manufacturing has not expanded sufficiently to formalize and absorb the large labor force leaving agriculture. Numerous studies highlight the persistence of informality and low productivity in rural non-farm activities, among which traditional industries are prominent.

Specific studies on handloom and handicrafts document long-term pressures: the substitution of power looms and mechanized production for handloom fabrics, the saturation of domestic markets with cheaper alternatives, and increasing competition in international markets. Research also emphasizes the role of intermediaries in capturing a disproportionate share of value, and the barriers artisans face in accessing finance, technology, and market information. Recent scholarship has begun to examine the role of design interventions, GI (geographical indication) protection, and e-commerce platforms as potential tools for revival. Case studies from Indian states illustrate heterogeneity: some clusters (where cooperatives, designers, or state interventions aligned effectively) have shown resilience, while others have experienced precipitous decline.

Policy literature evaluates existing interventions—MSME schemes, export promotion initiatives, public procurement set-asides, and cluster development programs—and often points to implementation challenges, fragmented outreach, and the difficulty of scaling small pilots without losing artisan agency. The post-2020 literature also highlights pandemic-related disruptions and how digital pivots have helped some exporters and artisans adapt.

Materials and Methods

This paper employs a mixed-methods desk-based approach. It synthesizes quantitative trend information from secondary sources—national reports, sectoral publications, and public databases—with qualitative insights derived from academic articles, policy papers, and journalistic accounts. The primary methodological steps are:

1. Secondary Data Synthesis: Collection and synthesis of sectoral and national reports on employment, output, and export performance for traditional industries, focusing on the most recent decade where available.
2. Thematic Literature Analysis: A systematic thematic reading of peer-reviewed papers, working papers, and policy briefs to identify common causal explanations and suggested interventions.
3. Case Illustration: Selection of illustrative case studies from public sources to highlight heterogeneity across regions and value chains (e.g., handloom clusters, handicraft export pathways).
4. Policy Mapping: Compilation of contemporary policy instruments and schemes (central and state-level) relevant to traditional industries to assess coverage and gaps.

Empirical Patterns and Trends

Empirical evidence suggests multiple, overlapping patterns that characterize the decline of certain traditional industries:

1. **Employment Shifts:** Traditional industries have seen reductions in their share of total employment in some regions, particularly where mechanized substitutes have expanded. While absolute numbers in certain localized clusters may remain stable, the overall trend shows erosion in the workforce engaged in some crafts.
 2. **Enterprise Dynamics:** Many traditional activities are organized as micro-enterprises or household units with limited capital. Such units are particularly vulnerable to demand shocks, input price volatility, and competition from industrial producers.
 3. **Market Contraction and Diversification:** Domestic markets for some traditional products have contracted as consumer tastes shift and lower-priced substitutes become available. At the same time, niche demand for high-quality, sustainable, and artisanal goods has grown—creating divergent outcomes within the sector.
 4. **Post-Pandemic Effects:** The COVID-19 pandemic caused significant disruptions in supply chains and demand, particularly for export-oriented handicrafts and textiles. Recovery has been uneven: larger firms and exporters that adopted digital channels have often rebounded faster than micro artisans dependent on local markets.
 5. **Geographic Heterogeneity:** Outcomes vary widely across states and clusters. Locations with supporting institutions (cooperatives, NGOs, design hubs) and better market access perform better than isolated clusters.
- These empirical patterns underscore that 'decline' is not uniform; while many segments face contraction, others exhibit resilience or even growth through upgrading and market repositioning.

Causes of Decline

The decline of traditional industries is driven by multiple, interacting factors:

1. **Technological and Scale-Based Competition:** Mechanized production and economies of scale enable much lower unit costs in many segments (e.g., power looms vs. handlooms). This price competition reduces the market for labor-intensive artisan products unless differentiation strategies are employed.
2. **Changing Consumer Preferences:** Urbanization and changing lifestyles have altered demand patterns. Mass-produced textiles and household goods often displace traditional alternatives, especially where cost sensitivity is high.
3. **Labor Mobility and Demography:** Younger generations increasingly seek urban employment in services and formal manufacturing. This migration reduces the available artisanal labor pool and weakens intergenerational skill transmission.
4. **Market Access and Intermediation:** Limited direct access to markets forces artisans to depend on middlemen who capture large portions of value. Lack of information on pricing, branding, and consumer preferences reduces artisans' bargaining power.
5. **Financial and Institutional Barriers:** Informal artisans often lack collateral or formal documentation required for institutional credit. Social protection and insurance coverage are limited, increasing vulnerability to shocks.
6. **Policy and Regulatory Frictions:** Although there are many supportive policies in principle, implementation gaps, complex compliance procedures, and lack of tailored programs for micro artisans impede effectiveness. Taxation and tariff structures have at times had unintended distributional effects.

7. Globalization and Value Chain Changes: Integration into global markets benefits some exporters but also exposes artisans to global price competition and demand volatility. The benefits of global value chains often accrue to better-capitalized intermediaries rather than village-level artisans.

Emerging Trends and Opportunities

Despite adverse pressures, several trends present pathways for mitigation and revitalization:

1. Digital Marketplaces and E-commerce: Online platforms provide direct-to-consumer channels, enabling artisans to capture higher margins and access broader customer bases. Successful examples often combine digital marketing with offline support for logistics and photography.
2. Design-Led Upgrading: Collaboration between designers, artisan collectives, and firms can lead to product redesigns that appeal to contemporary markets while preserving traditional craft techniques.
3. Certification and Niche Positioning: GI tags, ethical labels, and sustainability certifications can differentiate products and capture price premiums in domestic and international markets.
4. Cluster Development and Common Facility Centers (CFCs): Investments in shared infrastructure (dyeing units, finishing centres, quality testing) can raise productivity and product quality without eroding artisanal identity.
5. Access to Finance Innovations: Group lending models, microfinance tailored to artisans, and blended finance can provide working capital and seasonal credit suited to craft cycles.
6. Policy Convergence and Public Procurement: Targeted procurement policies in government programs (handmade products for official use, craft fairs) and coordinated state-level initiatives can create dependable demand streams.
7. Growing Conscious Consumption: Rising awareness among some consumer segments about sustainability and heritage-driven goods opens market space for artisanal products positioned on quality, story, and provenance.

The realization of these opportunities depends crucially on coordination between artisans, intermediaries, designers, platforms, and public agencies.

Case Illustrations

A few illustrative cases highlight both challenges and success pathways:

1. Handloom Clusters: Regions known for specific weaves (for example, ikat, jamdani, and certain regional saris) have witnessed diverging fortunes. Clusters that combined GI recognition, cooperative marketing, and e-commerce listings have maintained niche market shares, while clusters lacking such supports have experienced decline.
2. Handicraft Exporters: Export-oriented handicrafts faced severe disruptions during the pandemic due to collapsed international demand. Some exporters survived by pivoting to domestic channels and adopting digital sales; however, micro artisans without exporter linkages remain the most vulnerable.
3. Cooperative and NGO Interventions: Several NGOs and cooperative interventions that emphasize capacity building, shared branding, and market linkages have improved incomes and resilience among participating artisans. These programs also illustrate the importance of enabling autonomy rather than creating dependent relationships.

4. Design Collaborations: Partnerships between urban designers and rural artisans have yielded commercially successful product lines that preserved craft techniques while improving market appeal. Such collaborations help bridge cultural knowledge with market requirements.

These cases indicate that targeted support, market orientation, and investments in non-physical capital (design, branding, digital capabilities) matter for artisan survival.

Policy Recommendations

To address decline and promote sustainable livelihoods, the following policy recommendations are proposed:

1. Facilitate Market Access: Implement subsidized programs that bundle digital training, photography, logistics support, and curated marketplace onboarding for artisan clusters. Reduce platform fees for verified artisan groups.
2. Strengthen Cluster Infrastructure: Invest in Common Facility Centers (CFCs) that provide shared services—dyeing, finishing, packaging, and quality control—backed by transparent governance and custodial models.
3. Expand Tailored Finance: Develop credit products with simplified KYC and group-lending options for artisans, coupled with seasonal credit lines that match production cycles.
4. Promote Design & Skill Development: Create public–private design hubs and apprenticeship linkages between design institutions and artisan clusters to foster product innovation.
5. Support Certification & Provenance: Provide technical and financial support for GI registration, sustainability certification, and labeling that help artisans capture price premiums.
6. Strengthen Social Protection: Extend portable social protection measures and affordable micro-insurance products to artisanal workers to reduce vulnerability to shocks and enable productive risk-taking.
7. Simplify Policy Delivery: Streamline access to existing MSME schemes and create single-window assistance for artisan groups to claim benefits and comply with regulations.
8. Data and Monitoring: Establish periodic, high-quality datasets on artisanal employment and enterprise performance to enable evidence-based policymaking and targeted interventions.
9. Encourage Participatory Governance: Ensure that policy design and implementation are participatory, with artisan collectives and local institutions involved in decision-making to safeguard autonomy and long-term sustainability.

Limitations

This study synthesizes secondary sources and does not include primary field data; therefore, it cannot capture micro-level heterogeneity beyond what is reported in published materials. Time and resource constraints limit the ability to validate specific program outcomes across all states. Additionally, some references to contemporary policy measures are condensed summaries and may omit fine-grained implementation details that are context-specific.

Conclusion

Traditional industries in India face complex pressures from technological change, shifting consumer behavior, labor mobility, and institutional constraints. The observed decline in many segments reflects both structural economic evolution and policy/institutional shortcomings. However, the narrative is not deterministically negative: emerging opportunities—digital platforms, design collaborations, certification, and targeted cluster investments—offer viable pathways for

revitalization. The policy challenge is to craft interventions that are inclusive, context-sensitive, and oriented toward enabling artisans to capture greater value while preserving cultural heritage. A balanced approach that combines market facilitation, social protection, capacity building, and data-driven monitoring can help ensure that India's economic transformation is both prosperous and equitable.

References

1. Srivastava, R., Sharma, A. N., & Verick, S. (Eds.). (2024). *India Employment Report 2024: Youth employment, education and skills. Institute for Human Development & International Labour Organization. https://www.ilo.org/sites/default/files/2024-08/India%20Employment%20-%20web_8%20April.pdf
2. Dutta, M. (Ed.). (2024). State of India's handloom sector report 2024. ACCESS Development Services. <https://www.accessdev.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/09/State-of-Handloom-Sector-Report-2024.pdf>
Ministry of Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises. (2024). MSME annual report 2023–24. Government of India, Ministry of Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises. <https://msme.gov.in/sites/default/files/FINALMSMEANNUALREPORT2023-24ENGLISH.pdf>
3. World Bank. (2024). India Development Update: India's trade opportunities in a changing global context. World Bank Group. <https://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/099513209032434771/pdf/IDU-13d06cd8-0fec-465e-a7e3-8a711ea131b8.pdf>
4. Khan, F. B. (2022). Can the arts and crafts sector in India be sustainable: A grounded theory approach to mapping challenges and proposing solutions. *International Journal of Global Business and Competitiveness*, 17(Suppl. 1), S46–S55. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42943-022-00065-9>
5. Choudhary, R. K., & Mishra, D. S. K. (2025). Global outreach of Indian sustainable handicrafts export market during the pre-pandemic and post-pandemic. *Journal of Marketing & Social Research*, 2(4), 289–304. <https://doi.org/10.61336/jmsr/25-04-38>
6. Government of India, Ministry of Finance. (2025). Economic Survey 2024–25.
7. The Times of India. (2024, August 6). Handloom Day 2024: What is handloom and what makes it a historical fabric for India. *The Times of India. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/etimes/trending/handloom-day-2024-what-is-handloom-and-what-makes-it-a-historical-fabric-for-india/articleshow/112325043.cms>
8. Singha, M. (2025, September 5). Rate cut cheer for weavers & artisans. The Times of India. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/bhubaneswar/rate-cut-cheer-for-weaversartisans/articleshow/123705613.cms>
9. The Economic Times. (2025, September 9). ET Make in India SME Regional Summits: Kolkata is weaving tradition with technology. *The Economic Times. <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/small-biz/sme-sector/et-make-in-india-sme-regional-summits-kolkata-is-weaving-tradition-with-technology/articleshow/123781741>.